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Objectives

1. To understand the factors that influence decisions to hospitalize patients with TIA and minor stroke

2. To review the optimal management strategies for TIA and minor stroke, and to determine how often these are provided to patients in Ontario
Outline

• Overview of TIA/minor stroke  
  Definitions, risks, recommended care, current knowledge
• Ontario’s stroke secondary prevention clinics (SPCs)
• Presentation of OSN research project on TIA care  
  Methods, results, conclusions
• Implications and future directions

Case

• Saturday 11 p.m.
• 65-year-old woman in ED with left face/arm weakness.
• Symptoms resolved within 30 minutes.
• No other medical problems.
• BP 150/90. Remainder of physical examination normal.
Questions

- Is this a TIA?
- What is her risk of subsequent stroke?
- What acute treatment is needed?
- Should she be admitted to hospital?

Is this a TIA?

- Newer criteria for diagnosis of TIA (AHA 2009)
- Sudden onset of transient neurological symptoms
  (< 24 hours and usually < 1 hour)
- No evidence of infarction on imaging
- WHO still endorses traditional criteria of symptoms < 24 hours without reference to neuroimaging findings
What is the risk of stroke after TIA?

- 10% risk of stroke within 90 days of TIA
- Half of these occur within 48 hours
- Efforts to identify patients at particularly high risk

ABCD²

- A – Age 60 years or older (1 point)
- B – Blood pressure elevation on first assessment (1 point; systolic ≥ 140 or diastolic ≥ 90 mm Hg)
- C – Clinical features of TIA (unilateral weakness, 2 points; or speech impairment without weakness, 1 point);
- D – Duration of TIA (≥60 minutes, 2 points; 10–59 minutes, 1 point)

AHA GUIDELINES RECOMMEND HOSPITALIZATION IF ABCD² > 2

Limitations of the ABCD\textsuperscript{2} score

Interpretation: This multicentre prospective study involving patients in emergency departments with transient ischemic attack found the ABCD\textsuperscript{2} score to be inaccurate, at any cut-point, as a predictor of imminent stroke. Furthermore, the ABCD\textsuperscript{2} score of more than 2 that is recommended by the American Heart Association is nonspecific.

Emerging factors predicting high risk

- Risk driven by mechanism of stroke syndrome; not covered by ABCD\textsuperscript{2}
  
  \textit{High grade arterial stenosis}

- MR evidence of acute infarct (despite symptom resolution)
TIA risk stratification – Canadian guidelines

• Highest risk – immediate ED evaluation recommended
  ➢ Speech disturbance or unilateral weakness
  ➢ Presentation within 48 hours of symptom onset
• Increased risk – evaluate w/in 24 hrs (2 wks if no motor sx)
  ➢ Speech disturbance or unilateral weakness
  ➢ Presentation between 48 hours and 2 weeks of symptom onset
• Lower risk – evaluate within 1 month
  ➢ Atypical sensory symptoms
  ➢ Presentation more than 2 weeks after symptom onset

---

What tests are needed?

1. Brain imaging (CT/MRI)
2. Vascular imaging (Doppler ultrasound/CTA/MRA)
3. ECG to assess for atrial fibrillation
4. CBC, INR, PTT, creat, lytes, ALT, glucose, lipids, HbA1c
5. Holter monitor if cardioembolism suspected
6. Echocardiography “in cases where stroke mechanism has not been identified”
What acute management is needed?

1. Antiplatelet therapy if no blood on brain imaging
   
   *ASA as soon as possible and within 48 hours*

2. Initiate oral anticoagulation if atrial fibrillation

3. If 50-99% ipsilateral carotid stenosis, refer for consideration of carotid endarterectomy

4. Other risk factor modification
   
   *Hypertension, lipids, smoking, diabetes*

---

**Canadian Best Practice Recommendations for Stroke Care**, [www.strokebestpractices.ca](http://www.strokebestpractices.ca)


**OSN Triage Algorithm**, [www.ontariostokenetwork.ca](http://www.ontariostokenetwork.ca)

---

Is admission required?

**Patients With Transient Ischemic Attack or Minor Stroke Should Be Admitted to Hospital**

For

Michael D. Hill, MD, MSc, FRCPC; David J. Gladstone, MD, FRCPC

**Patients With Transient Ischemic Attack Do Not Need To Be Admitted to Hospital for Urgent Evaluation and Treatment**

Against

Richard L. Lindley, MBBS, MD, FRCP(Edin), FRACP

---

*Stroke 2006*

*Stroke 2006*
Is admission required?

- Depends on ability of patient to return if recurrence
- Depends on availability of timely outpatient care
  
  Need brain imaging and carotid imaging quickly

- HQO Handbook:
  
  “The majority of patients with TIA and some patients with minor stroke do not require admission to hospital and should be referred to an urgent TIA/minor stroke unit/TIA clinic/stroke prevention clinic or comparable ambulatory care setting for rapid diagnostic and medical evaluation”

What do we know about TIA care in Ontario?

- SEQC evaluation reports: 3000 hospitalizations/year
- 75-80% discharged from the ED
- Gladstone et al, CMAJ, data from 2000
  
  Low rates of recommended tests/treatments:

  - Brain imaging – 58%
  - Carotid imaging – 44%
  - Antithrombotic therapy – 63%
Strategies to improve TIA care

- Increasing recognition of high risk
- Development of best practice guidelines
- Implementation of stroke prevention clinics

Background – Ontario’s Secondary Prevention Clinics

- 2001, 5 Stroke Secondary Prevention Clinics (SPC) as part of the Ontario Stroke System
  - 2005, 24 SPC
  - 2010, 43 SPC
  - 2014, 48 SPC

- Most operate 3-5 days/week and none are open on weekends
- Most located in specialized stroke centres
Purpose of SPCs

1. to coordinate services for all high-risk patients
2. develop and implement referral and triage processes to facilitate transition of care from ED or GP offices to SPC
3. Establish processes to coordinate *timely* access for diagnostics, assessment and carotid revascularization.

Map of Ontario’s SPCs
Findings from report

- 53% of SPC referrals are from ED physicians
- 27% of SPC referrals are from primary care offices
- 16.5% of SPC visits were for emergent or urgent cases
Access

In 2011/12, ~30,000 ED visits for patients with suspected or confirmed TIA/stroke:

- 21.3% were assessed in an SPC
- < 20% patients deemed emergent or urgent were seen within recommended time (24 & 72hrs respectively)

OSN research questions

- How are patients with TIA/minor stroke in Ontario managed currently?
  - How often hospitalized?
  - What determines hospitalization?
  - What is quality of care like in admitted/discharged patients?
- What has been the impact of SPCs on TIA care?
Methods – data sources

- Data from Ontario Stroke Registry audits
- 2008/09 and 2010/11; N = 8540
- All ~ 150 acute care hospitals in province
- Patients with TIA/minor stroke seen in the ED
- Linked to administrative data to determine procedures/medications after discharge, as well as repeat ED visits, hospitalizations, deaths

Analysis

- Determined % of patients hospitalized
  
  *Multivariable analyses to determine factors associated with hospitalization*

- Compared processes of care in patients admitted and discharged with and without SPC referral

- Compared risk of death/stroke in patients admitted and discharged with and without SPC referral
  
  *Hierarchical Cox PH models for hazard of death*
  
  *Similar models for stroke, with cumulative incidence functions to account for competing risk of death*

  *Secondary analyses with propensity methods*
Results – Patterns of care

TIA/minor stroke
N = 8540

Admitted
N = 3954 (47%)

Discharged
N = 4509 (52%)

Died
N = 77 (1%)

Referred to SPC
N = 3076 (68%)

No SPC referral
N = 1433 (32%)

Baseline characteristics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Index event TIA (%)</th>
<th>Admitted N = 3954</th>
<th>Discharged SPC referral N = 3076</th>
<th>Discharged without SPC N = 1433</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Index event TIA (%)</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median age (y)</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female (%)</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rural residence (%)</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disabled prior to event (%)</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diabetes (%)</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypertension (%)</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Atrial fibrillation (%)</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weakness (%)</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>49</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*P < .001
Predictors of admission

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Index Event</th>
<th>Odds Ratio (95% CI)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TIA vs. Ischemic stroke</td>
<td>0.15 (0.13 - 0.17)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pre-event Disability</td>
<td>2.20 (1.75 - 2.76)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypertension</td>
<td>1.15 (1.02 - 1.31)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hyperlipidemia</td>
<td>1.24 (1.10 - 1.40)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Current Smoker</td>
<td>1.24 (1.05 - 1.46)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Atrial Fibrillation</td>
<td>1.71 (1.47 - 1.99)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weakness at Presentation</td>
<td>1.17 (1.05 - 1.31)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Speech Disturbance</td>
<td>1.38 (1.22 - 1.56)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duration of Symptoms</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt; 10 vs. 10-59 minutes</td>
<td>0.86 (0.67 - 1.10)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥ 60 vs. 10-59 minutes</td>
<td>3.05 (2.63 - 3.54)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transported by Ambulance</td>
<td>2.45 (2.18 - 2.76)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Age, sex, rural residence, income group, hospital designation and availability of SPC not associated with hospitalization

- More likely to be admitted
- Less likely to be admitted

Proportion admitted by region

- Range from 37.5% to 70.3%
- 88% of variation due to patient factors
Results – processes of care

Outcomes - unadjusted

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Admitted</th>
<th>Discharged, SPC referral</th>
<th>Discharged, no SPC</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ED visit for stroke within 7 d</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>8.2</td>
<td>8.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ED visit for stroke within 30 d</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>10.7</td>
<td>10.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Death within 30 d</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>1.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Death within 1 y</td>
<td>7.9</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>9.9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*P<.001
Cumulative incidence of ED visits for stroke in admitted vs discharged patients

Admitted vs Discharged
AHR 0.52 (0.45 to 0.60)
Adjusted for age, sex, comorbid conditions

Cumulative incidence of ED visits for stroke in discharged patients with and without SPC

SPC vs no SPC
AHR 0.96 (0.81 to 1.14)
Adjusted for age, sex, comorbid conditions
Adjusted survival in admitted vs discharged

Discharged v. admitted 
AHR 1.11 (0.92 to 1.34) 
Adjusted for age, sex, comorbid conditions

Adjusted survival in those referred and not referred to SPCs

Mortality - SPC vs no SPC 
AHR 0.49 (0.38 to 0.64) 
Adjusted for age, sex, comorbid conditions
Summary of findings

• More than half of patients discharged from the ED
  Patient factors explain most variation in admissions
• 10% return to ED with stroke symptoms within 30 d
• Less likely to received recommended treatments
• Processes of care better with SPC referral
• Lower mortality in SPC cohort

Limitations

• Lacked information on some factors that might have influenced admission decisions
• Unmeasured comorbid conditions may have contributed to some of the differences in outcomes
Implications

- Additional guidance needed on when to admit or discharge patients with TIA/minor stroke
- Need to improve care of non-hospitalized patients
- SPCs are associated with improved care but currently may not have capacity to provide rapid care for all high risk patients
- Additional rapid outpatient assessment/treatment units may be needed

Future initiatives

- Need for ongoing monitoring
  May be challenging without ongoing registry data collection
  Administrative data valuable, but suboptimal coding of TIA and cannot identify minor stroke
- Development of rapid assessment units
  e.g. TAMS unit at Toronto Western Hospital
- Development of guidelines/algorithms for TIA care
  Stroke QBP Clinical Handbook
  OSN launch of triage algorithm
Thank you

Questions welcome
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